```
1
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                           SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
          Plaintiff,
 4
                                     Docket No. SA-10-CR-536(1)-FB
 5
            vs.
                                     Docket No. SA-12-CR-666(1)-FB
 6
     ROBERT BROOKS,
                                     San Antonio, Texas
                                     December 13, 2012
 7
          Defendant.
 8
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
 9
                      BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRED BIERY
                    CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
     APPEARANCES:
11
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
12
          UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
          By: William R. Harris, Esquire
13
          601 N.W. Loop 410, Suite 600
          San Antonio, TX 78216
14
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
15
          GORDON LAW FIRM, PC
          By: Stephen Gordon, Esquire
16
          5820 IH-10 West, Suite 400
          San Antonio, TX 78201
17
     COURT REPORTER:
18
          CHRIS POAGE
          United States Court Reporter
          655 E. Cesar E. Chavez Blvd., Rm. 314
19
          San Antonio, TX 78206
20
          Telephone: (210) 244-5036
          chris_poage@txwd.uscourts.gov
21
     Proceedings reported by stenotype, transcript produced by
     computer-aided transcription.
22
23
24
25
```

1 (Open court) 2 All right. Let's now call United States THE COURT: 3 of America versus Robert Brooks, again on the Ellis deadline This is Cause Number 536 -- excuse me -- 10-CR-536, 4 issues. 5 which is the mortgage fraud case, and 12-CR-666, which is the aiding false tax returns and filing false tax returns case. 6 7 Announcements for the United States? MR. HARRIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Bill Harris 8 9 for the United States. Ready to proceed. 10 THE COURT: And Mr. Gordon? 11 MR. GORDON: Stephen Gordon for Mr. Brooks, Your 12 We're ready to proceed. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Brooks is here, let the 14 record reflect. 15 And no problems on pretrial for Mr. Brooks? PRETRIAL OFFICER: No, Your Honor. 16 17 All right. So Mr. Brooks, you THE COURT: Okay. 18 have been here before a month or so ago, I think it was. And 19 Mr. Gordon has been your lawyer for a long time. I have seen 20 voluminous motions that he's filed on your behalf. You have experts. You've sat in court now, at least twice that I know 21 22 of in this court. 23 And so, Mr. Gordon, today was the day -- and again, because of the complexity of this case -- to decide what Mr. 24 25 Brooks chooses to do, it being his choice, so that we then can

```
1
     start looking at dates and travel plans for other people and so
 2
             So, Mr. Gordon, as to that issue, what do you
 3
     understand Mr. Brooks' desire to be?
               MR. GORDON: Mr. Brooks continues to indicate that he
 4
 5
     wants to proceed to trial on this case, Your Honor.
 6
                           Okay. All right. And we're set then for
               THE COURT:
 7
     January 22nd. And so the record is clear and in case there
     might have been some change, Mr. -- well, Mr. Harris, you think
 8
 9
     we can do this within two weeks from the government's
10
     perspective?
11
               MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor. I feel quite
12
     confident.
13
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. And Mr. Gordon, you think so?
14
               MR. GORDON: I'm not as confident. But, obviously,
15
     how long the government takes is a huge factor so I'll --
16
               THE COURT:
                           Right.
                                   Well -- and I think I mentioned
17
     this before. But the Wallace family -- the Wallace family has
     this huge foundation now that gives money to various charities.
18
19
     And you know how they made all that money, Mr. Gordon?
20
               MR. GORDON: No.
21
               THE COURT: Mr. Harris?
22
               MR. HARRIS: No. I'm not familiar with the Wallace
23
     family, so no.
               THE COURT: Well, and I may be incorrect, but I'm
24
     pretty sure. The Wallace family -- whoever started it, they
25
```

learned how to take great works of literature and lengthy magazine -- books and magazine articles and condense them down to what's -- the product was called the <code>Reader's Digest</code>. They made millions. So if that can work in the business arena, and trying to keep a jury awake and especially in this kind of snoozer type of case, I would encourage both sides to try to have some charts and pictures and something to jazz it up a little bit, and also condense it down to that <code>Reader's Digest</code> version, keeping in mind the government has the burden of proof, and they have to meet each element and be confident.

But if they can -- if the government can do that, and the defense can put on their evidence and get the defense point across in a Reader's Digest fashion, number one, we can do it in two weeks. But I think, frankly, from what I've observed, the juries are pretty smart. They get a collective wisdom pretty quickly. And they will be able to ferret through the various pieces of evidence and come to their decision.

And then the other thing that was related, we talked a little bit about whether we could start any earlier. Mr. Harris, you had indicated not, and I think you had talked with Mr. Gordon?

MR. HARRIS: Correct.

THE COURT: It occurred to me, after we talked, that at the very least -- and you-all can think about -- you don't have to decide right now. But we bring in panels on Mondays.

```
If, at the very least, we picked a jury on January the 14th and
 1
 2
     then didn't -- and then had the jury come back on the 22nd to
 3
     start, that would save at least a half a day that we could
     really hit it starting on the 14th. That's -- excuse me, on
 4
     the 22nd. So that's a possibility. Y'all confer about that.
 5
 6
               All right. And in terms of pretrial preparations,
 7
     right now at least, Mr. Harris, anything further for the United
 8
     States?
 9
               MR. HARRIS: I don't believe so.
                           Okay. Mr. Gordon, for Mr. Brooks?
10
               THE COURT:
11
               MR. GORDON: No, nothing, Your Honor.
12
                           All right. Very well. Mr. Brooks, do
               THE COURT:
13
     you have any questions?
14
                               No, sir.
               THE DEFENDANT:
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Good luck. Thank you, sir.
16
17
         (End of requested transcript)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-000-I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States. Date: 8/28/2013 /s/ Chris Poage United States Court Reporter 655 E. Cesar E. Chavez Blvd., Rm. 314 San Antonio, TX Telephone: (210) 244-5036